UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

| IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION | : MDL DOCKET NO. 2974 : |
|---|--|
| This document relates to: | : 1:20-md-02974-LMM |
| CARLA FLORES | : |
| VS. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.;; TEVA WOMEN'S HEALTH, LLC; TEVA BRANDED PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS R&D, INC.; THE COOPER COMPANIES, INC.; and COOPERSURGICAL, INC. | Civil Action No.: |
| | M COMPLAINT amed below, and for her/their Complaint |
| | incorporate(s) the Second Amended Master |
| Personal Injury Complaint (Doc. No. | 79), in MDL No. 2974 by reference. |
| Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows: | |
| 1. Name of Plaintiff placed with Pa | aragard: |
| Carla Flores | |
| 2. Name of Plaintiff's Spouse | (if a party to the case): N/A |
| | |

| N/A |
|--|
| |
| State of Residence of each Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in |
| representative capacity) at time of filing of Plaintiff's origin |
| complaint: |
| Illinois |
| |
| State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placement Illinois |
| |
| |
| State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: Illinois |
| State of Residence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: Illinois |
| _ |
| Illinois |
| District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue |
| District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper: |
| District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper: |
| District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper: United States District Court – Northern District of Illinois – Eastern Division |
| District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper: United States District Court – Northern District of Illinois – Eastern Division Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) Defenda |
| District Court and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper: |

in a Short Form Complaint.):

| X | A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. |
|-------------|---|
| X | B. Teva Women's Health, LLC |
| X | C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc. |
| $\square X$ | D. The Cooper Companies, Inc. |
| X | E. CooperSurgical, Inc. |
| | |
| 9. | Basis of Jurisdiction |
| X | Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)) |
| | Other (if Other, identify below): |
| | |
| 10. | |

| Date(s) Plaintiff had | Placing Physician(s) or | Date Plaintiff's Paragard was | Removal Physician(s) or other |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Paragard placed (DD/MM/YYYY) | other Health Care Provider (include City and State) | Removed (DD/MM/YYYY)* *If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list date of each separately. | Health Care Provider (include City and State)** **If multiple removal(s) or attempted removal procedures, list information separately. |
| 12/24/2014 | Alicia Bradley, CNM 8321 W. North Ave. Melrose Park, IL 60160 | 02/06/2023 | Cheryl Paradis, MD 1425 N. Randall Rd Elgin, Illinois 60123 |
| | | 04/28/2023 | Jamilah J. Okoe, MD 600 S. Randall Rd. Ste 210 Algonquin, IL 60102 |
| | | | |

| 11. | Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| | Paragard upon removal. | | |
| X | Yes | | |
| | No | | |
| 12. | Brief statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming: | | |
| | Significant pain and suffering, complicated medical interventions to remove broken Paragard, | | |
| | loss of reproductive health, permanent impairment/disfigurement, and mental anguish. | | |
| | Plaintiff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and | | |
| | complications specific to her. | | |
| 13. | Product Identification: | | |
| | a. Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known): | | |
| | Unknown | | |
| | b. Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the | | |
| | HealthCare Provider who placed your Paragard: | | |
| | □ Yes | | |
| | ⊗ No | | |
| 14. | Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s): | | |
| X | Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect | | |
| X | Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn | | |
| X | Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect | | |
| X | Count IV – Negligence | | |
| X | Count V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect | | |
| X | Count VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn | | |

| X | Count IX – Negligent Misrepresentation | | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|
| X | Cou | Count X – Breach of Express Warranty | | |
| X | Cou | Count XI – Breach of Implied Warranty | | |
| X | Cou | Count XII – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws | | |
| X | Cou | Count XIII – Gross Negligence | | |
| ĽX | Cou | Count XIV – Unjust Enrichment | | |
| $\Box x$ | Cou | Count XV – Punitive Damages | | |
| | Cou | Count XVI – Loss of Consortium | | |
| | Other Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims | | | |
| not ii | nclude | ed in the Master Complaint below): | | |
| 15. | "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations: | | | |
| | a. 🔯 | Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"? Yes | | |
| | | No | | |
| | Ь. | If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond | | |
| | υ. | the facts alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts | | |
| | | and legal basis applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those | | |
| | | allegations below: | | |
| | | anegations below. | | |
| | | | | |

| 16. | Cou | nt VII (Fraud & Deceit) and Count VIII (Fraud by Omission) |
|-----|--------|--|
| | alleg | gations: |
| | a. | Is Plaintiff is bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud & |
| | | Deceit), Count VIII (Fraud by Omission), and/or any other claim |
| | | for fraud or misrepresentation? |
| | \Box | Yes |
| | | No |
| | b. | If Yes, the following information must be provided (in |
| | | accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9, |
| | | and/or with pleading requirements applicable to Plaintiff's state |
| | | law claims): |
| | i. | The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges |
| | | was false: Paragard more effective than other hormone free birth control methods. |
| | | Easily reversible. Easy to remove. Nonsurgical removal in routine office visit. Can be removed anytime. Omitted breakage at or near routine removal requiring complicated medical intervention |
| | ii. | Who allegedly made the statement: |
| | | |
| | iii. | To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her physicians |
| | iv. | The date(s) on which the statement was allegedly made: |
| | | Various dates while Plaintiff intended to and was implanted with Paragard |
| | | |

- 17. If Plaintiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging facts beyond those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:
 - a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? It is currently unknown if Plaintiffs' specific lot was defectively manufactured. Plaintiff will supplement as discovery proceeds.

| 18. | Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is |
|----------------|--|
| | alleged in the Master Complaint: |
| | |
| 19. | Jury Demand: |
| X | Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts |
| | Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 2~1×6~ |
| | Attorney(s) for Plaintiff |
| | Erin Copeland |
| | |
| Address, ph | one number, email address and Bar information: |
| 1150 Bissonnet | Street, Houston, TX 77005 |

713-751-0025

 $ecopel and @\,fibich law.com$

SBN# 24028167/Federal ID# 29219